



I^{IP}ROD

Quality control and monitoring

Dr. Vesna Lopičić

Vice-rector of the University of Niš

Quality control and monitoring: WP. 5

WORK PLAN

#	Action	Completed by Workpackage	Who	Deliverable
1.	Peer review of new and modernised curricula	14/07/2015 WP 5.1	Reviewers from KIT, TUS and SUB	Peer review report
2.	Self-evaluation of created/ modernised EP	14/08/2015 WP 5.2	All PC universities	Two self-evaluation reports
3.	Inter project coaching	14/08/2015 WP 5.3	External expert	External expert's report
4.	Implementation of action plan for quality assurance	14/10/2015 WP 5.4	All Serbian partners with the help of KIT, TUS and SUB	Action plan for quality assurance

Internal Quality Control and Monitoring

Summary of progress to date

□ SUMMARY OF PROGRESS OF ACTIVITIES AGAINST THE IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

- Overall progress of project activities against the implementation schedule can be assessed as quite good, although some delays in providing deliverables 1.3 , 1.4 , 2.3, 3.2, 4.2, 5.3, 6.6, 7.1, 7.2, and 7.5 can be observed. However, it should be noted that the real start of the project was marked by the kick-off meeting which was two months after the official project beginning. In addition, work packages are extensive and involve sets of demanding tasks. In some cases, planned activities are entirely in the domain of EU partners. In other cases (2.3), the delay was caused by the university administration.
- Looking at the postponed deliverables, it can be concluded that at the moment, these delays are minor and do not pose a risk to the project overall results, particularly having in mind that all these activities are ongoing, some of them already in the final stage.
- It is useful that the consortium keep track of possible slowdowns in the project implementation timely, so that the Workplan could be revised if necessary, as has been already been done, or conduct some corrective measures.

Internal Quality Control and Monitoring

Summary of progress to date

- SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AGAINST SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE INDICATORS FROM THE LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX

- Specific objective indicators shown in the Application have been achieved to a great extent. Most of outputs and outcomes achieved during the first year are of a high quality:
- WP1: Report on required competences and learning outcomes of curriculums is drafted, comparative analysis of EU partners and PC curriculum is finished, and reconstruction of the curricula is completed. Development of structure and content of new curricula and the accreditation of some curricula are underway.
- WP2: Training of PC university teachers, and transfer of knowledge and expertise of EU partners are begun, student practice programme is drafted, so that enrolment of students can be realised as planned. Procurement of equipment is delayed due to objective reasons related to university administration.

SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AGAINST SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE INDICATORS FROM THE LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX

- WP3: “Plan for connecting universities with regional companies” is drafted, structure and content of twelve curricula are defined according to the adopted pattern, preparations for the enrolment of participants into continuous education courses are accomplished. Materials for the courses are being prepared.
- WP4: Report on the state and contents of IPD is drafted while the definition of training courses and preparation of the necessary materials are underway.
- WP5: Quality control and monitoring started with some delay. Drafting of action plan for quality assurance is underway.
- WP6: Dissemination is being realised very successfully: project web portal has been developed and maintained regularly and the project well-promoted in various ways.



SUMMARY OF PROGRESS AGAINST SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE INDICATORS FROM THE LOGICAL FRAMEWORK MATRIX

- WP7: All Serbian PP have submitted the documents for accreditation/re-accreditation of courses, while the creation of the network with regional industrial enterprises is underway which is the basis for future sustainability of the project results to be reinforced by the forthcoming activities.
- WP8: Management of the project is very efficient owing to the adoption of the Management Manual, and activities of all established work teams.

Internal Quality Control and Monitoring

Summary of progress to date

IS THERE A RISK THAT THE PROJECT WILL FAIL TO MEET ITS KEY INDICATORS?

- Although it is difficult to judge after the first year of realisation, no significant problems appeared which would seem to hinder successful realisation of the project.
- However, it should be noted that the activities of some partners have been delayed due to reasons related to the faculty or university policy which may be a cause for concern in the future if not taken care of timely.
- This is especially true for UBL where very little work in realisation of WP1 was performed
- Also, reconstruction of the existing curricula can be carried even further towards more radical solutions.
- Further, the 2nd and 3rd years are marked by intensive activities which need to be carefully managed for the smooth realisation of the project.

Internal Quality Control and Monitoring

Summary of progress to date

IS THERE A RISK THAT A PROJECT PARTNER WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SPEND THE ALLOTTED BUDGET?

- The risk that the project partners will not be able to spend the allotted budget can be assessed as very low since the activities are developing mainly according to the plan.
- However, project partners in BA is experiencing obstacles in the financial realisation of the project due to the lack of harmonisation of internal and EU financial regulations. This problem needs urgent attention.

Internal Quality Control and Monitoring Summary of progress to date

- To conclude, the overall progress of project activities can be summarised as following the project workplan. It has not been observed that there is a complete lack of any project activity which could endanger the achievement of the objectives. Even in cases when delivering the results is a bit delayed, the activities have already started, and there is a real commitment to complete them. After a year of the project lifetime, an insight into project deliverables, documents and implementation reports shows a very good progress of the project, which anticipates its successful final realisation.



THANK YOU FOR YOUR
ATTENTION!